UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,228	10/28/2005	David W Morris	PP23370.0003/20366-036US1 4557		
	7590 08/31/2010 nes and Diagnostics, In	EXAMINER			
Corporate Intell	lectual Property		HOLLERAN, ANNE L		
P.O. BOX 8097 EMERYVILLE	c, CA 94662-8097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2010	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,228	MORRIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ANNE L. HOLLERAN	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 March 2010</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		Jause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 32-34, 51, 78, 82-87, 89, 90, 93 and 94 are pending. Claims 32-34 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 51, 78, 82-87, 89, 90, 93 and 94 are rejected, and have not been amended by the response filed 2/17/2010.

.

Claims 51, 78, 82-87, 89, 90, 93 and 94 remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connnected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not reasonably provide enablement for methods of diagnosing any and all cancers, or diagnosing colon, breast or prostate cancer, comprising the active steps of differential detection of expression of a gene which expresses a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 777 in a patient sample, comprising colon, breast or prostate tissue; comprising detecting evidence of differential expression of myosin I gene which expresses a ucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 777 in a patient sample; or diagnosing colon, breast or prostate cancer in a patient comprising detecting the amount of a duplex formed between a polynucleotide that hybridizes under conditions recited in claim 94 to a nucleotide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO: 777, when contacted with nucleic acids of a patient colon, breast or prostate sample.

Applicants state that the aplcation provides a sufficient link between the claimed SEQ ID NO: 777 and diagnosis of cancer to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention as claimed. Applicants state that the specification demonstrates a linke between use of oncogenic retroviruses for identification of host cancer related sequences such as the claimed SEQ ID NO: 777. However, in response, the claims are not drawn to identification of cancer related sequences, but instead are drawn to diagnosing any and all cancer (claim 51) or diagnosing colon, breast or prostate cancer (claims 78, 82-87, 89, 90, 93 and 94) comprising the detection of SEQ ID NO: 777, or a protein encoded by SEQ ID NO: 777, or to expression of a gene that encodes SEQ ID NO: 777, or the detection of a polynucleotide that hybridizes to SEQ ID NO: 777 (under specific conditions stated in claim 94).

Applicants state that the teachings of Berns further supports enablement of the claimed inventions, because Berns teaches that protooncogenes identified by provirus tagging may be gene expressed in any stage of cancer. Thus, applicants state, the claimed invention may be used for the early detection of cancer.

This is not found persuasive because Berns teaches that proviral tagging is a method to find candidate genes that is involved in tumorigenesis ("genes that can contribute to tumorigenesis"; page 11). However, discovering a gene that may or may not have a role in tumorigenesis is not sufficient experimental evidence that a particular gene, such as for example the gene encoding the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 777, can be used as a diagnostic for any and all cancers, or as a diagnositic for particular cancers (colong, breast or prostate cancer). The specification does not provide any experimental evidence showing that measurement of this particular gene's expression is useful for detecting cancer. Furthermore, there is no post-filing date evidence found by the examiner, nor provided by applicants, demonstrating that measurement of the expression of this gene can be used in a method of detecting cancer.